Differential Algebraic Techniques

M. Berz, Michigan State U.

The study of the behavior of motion in the vicinity of a chosen reference solution is a central problem arising in many sub-fields of dynamical systems, including beam dynamics. The Taylor expansions of these solutions can be obtained by solving the so-called variational equations, which in beam physics has been carried out to orders two and three in the code Transport[1, 2, 3], to orders three for example in the codes TRIO [4], GIOS, [5] and MaryLie [6], and to order five in the code COSY 5.0 [7]. This approach is very laborious in practice, and the development of the DA techniques described in the following has greatly simplified this endeavor in beam physics and other fields. In their latest versions [8, 9, 10, 11], the unprecedented accuracy these methods afford for the solution of differential equations has been recognized with the award of the R.E. Moore prize for rigorous computing.

As used in our field [12], the DA techniques allow the convenient computation of high-order Taylor expansions of the transfer map \mathcal{M} which relates final particle coordinates \vec{z}_f to initial coordinates \vec{z}_i and parameters $\vec{\delta}$,

$$\vec{z}_f = \mathcal{M}(\vec{z}_i, \vec{\delta}) \tag{1}$$

relative to one (in most beamlines, microscopes, or synchrotrons) or several (in FFAGs, cyclotrons[?, 13], and some spectrographs) reference orbits. The map \mathcal{M} is the *flow* (solution depending on initial conditions) of ODEs

$$\vec{z}' = \vec{f}(\vec{z}, s), \quad \text{independent variable } s$$
 (2)

Since their introduction [14, 15], DA techniques have been utilized in most newly developed codes [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23] and the DA engines forming the core of COSY INFINITY [16]also form the backbone of various other codes. DA methods have their origin in the algebraic study of problems involving differentiation and integration for the purpose of solving complicated integrals, ODEs, and PDEs. Pioneered by Liouville [24] in connection with the search of elementary integrals for elementary functions, it was put on a solid foundation and significantly enhanced by Ritt [25, 26] and Kolchin [27, 28]. Now the methods have gained prominence in the field of formula manipulation, where they provide the backbone of the theory of analytic quadrature and integration of ODEs [29].

For a given function f of v variables, we form a vector that contains all Taylor expansion coefficients at $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ up to a certain order n. Knowing this vector for two functions f and g allows to compute the respective vector for f+g and $f \cdot g$, since the derivatives of the sum and product function is uniquely defined from those of f and g. The resulting operations of addition and multiplication lead to an algebra, the so-called Truncated Power Series Algebra (TPSA) [30, 15, 31]. One can also introduce elementary functions like exp, sin etc. on TPSA. TPSA allows the convenient computation of derivatives of any functional dependency on a computer [32]. For the solution of ODEs and PDEs it is necessary to introduce another operation. For any fixed function g with g(0) = 0, it is possible to determine the Taylor coefficients of $g \cdot \partial f / \partial x_i = \partial_{g,i} f$ from those of f. Including the operation $\partial_{g,i}$ and its inverse with addition and multiplication leads to a differential algebra (DA). Details on this particular DA can be found in [12, 33, 32]. In passing it is worth mentioning that the differential algebraic structures also provide a novel way to a theory of differentials as infinitely small numbers [34, 12, 35], but we will forgo details here. For practical work with DA, care has to be taken to provide elementary operations $+, \cdot, \partial_{g,i}$ that work to any order and any number of variables. Since usually many derivatives vanish due to symmetry, the active support of sparsity is essential [31].

Solutions of ODEs and PDEs To determine the map Eq.(1), it is necessary to solve the ODEs Eq.(2). Since usually fields are known only in the midplane, it is often also necessary to solve their PDEs in the process. The crudest approach to solve Eq.(2) is to replace all operations in a tracking code by the corresponding ones in DA [15, 14]. This replacement is similar in flavor to the modification of existing code through pre-processors performing "automatic differentiation" [36, 32], although in that field derivatives are typically only obtained to first or second order and the challenge lies in the efficient handling of very large numbers of independent variables. An important practical problem of this map integration approach is to obtain higher order terms accurately, which can be done by reducing the step size significantly. This approach has been used to retrofit several existing tracking codes, including recently MAD-X for the extraction of high-order DA maps.

However, using DA techniques it is possible to entirely avoid the approximate time stepping of conventional integrators, but rather develop new integrators of arbitrary order in time. Strikingly, they require only a single evaluation of the right hand side per step, resulting in greatly increased efficiency and robustness[12, 8, 9]. One way is based on the common rewriting of the ODE as a fixed point problem

$$\vec{z}_f = \vec{z}_i + \int_{s_i}^{s_f} \vec{f}(\vec{z}, \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s}$$
(3)

Utilizing the operation ∂^{-1} for the integral, the problem can be iterated in DA with \tilde{s} as an additional variable. It can be shown that iteration converges to the exact result in *n* steps, where *n* is the order of the DA operations; moreover, this is not affected if in the *i*th iteration step the overall order is reduced to *i*. The result is an *n*th order integrator; for a given accuracy demand, the integrator typically results in a speed-up of about an order of magnitude. Moreover, the integrator also affords a rigorous and sharp estimate of all integration errors [8, 9, 10, 11].

Similarly, it is also possible to solve PDEs in finitely many steps. For this purpose, one eliminates differentiation with respect to one variable by integration. For example, the PDE

$$a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + b_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(b_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right) + c_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(c_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \right) = 0 \tag{4}$$

which describes the scalar potential in particle optical relative coordinates, is rewritten as

$$V = V\Big|_{y=0} + \frac{1}{b_2} \int_y \left\{ \left(b_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=0} - \int_y \frac{a_1}{b_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{c_1}{b_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(c_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \right) \right\}$$
(5)

and again, iteration converges to the exact result in finitely many steps[12, 13]. In addition to producing general PDE solvers, this approach allows the execution of the commonly performed out-of-plane expansion to arbitrary order [37, 38, 39, 40, 13, 41].

It is important to observe that the accuracy of the out-of-plane expansion rests on the quality of field derivatives in the midplane. If the field arises from models, DA methods can directly be used to obtain these derivatives accurately to any order of interest. if on the other hand, the field is based on measurements, it is highly advantageous to represent the field in space through integrals over surface fields based on the Helmholtz theorem which bears a resemblance to the well-known Cauchy formula in complex analysis, an approach pioneered by Walstrom[42]. DA methods can be used elegantly to expand fields simultaneously in the coordinates in the midplane, as well in the surface coordinates, and the integration is carried out directly utilizing the differential algebraic operation ∂^{-1} .

For ODEs that are time independent and for which $\vec{z} = \vec{0}$ is a solution, which is the case in particle optical relative coordinates, another method can be applied. For a given function on phase space $g(\vec{z}, s)$, it is possible to obtain its derivative along the true solution via

$$\frac{d}{ds}g\left(\vec{z},s\right) = \vec{f} \cdot \vec{\nabla}g + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = L_{\vec{f}}g \tag{6}$$

Apparently we also have $d^n/ds^n g = L_{\tilde{f}}^n g$. If g is not explicitly time dependent, the operator $L_{\tilde{f}}^n$ can be evaluated directly within DA for any n; using it for $g = z_{\nu}$, the components of the vector \vec{z} , we obtain an integrator of adjustable order. This method is utilized for the main fields of elements in the code COSY ; an element is typically traversed in one step, and orders of 25-30 are usually chosen to obtain integration to nearly machine precision. We also note that for certain particle optical systems, including the notoriously improperly treated fringe fields, there are other efficient and fast perturbative methods to obtain approximate solutions of high accuracy, like the method of symplectic scaling [43]. Advanced DA operations and manipulation of maps Given the *n*th order representations of two maps \mathcal{M}_n and \mathcal{N}_n , it is possible to determine the *n*th order representation of their composition $\mathcal{M}_n \circ \mathcal{N}_n$ as long as \mathcal{N}_n has no constant parts. To this end, one simply inserts the components of \mathcal{N}_n into the polynomial defined by the coefficients of \mathcal{M}_n . This allows to determine the map of a combined system from the maps of the subsystems.

It is also possible to obtain the *n*th order representation \mathcal{N}_n of the inverse of \mathcal{M}_n as long as its linear part is invertible. To this end, one writes $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_n^*$, where \mathcal{M}_1 is the linear part of \mathcal{M}_n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n &= (\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_n^*) \circ \mathcal{N}_n \\ &= \mathcal{M}_1 \circ \mathcal{N}_n + \mathcal{M}_n^* \circ \mathcal{N}_n \quad \Rightarrow \\ \mathcal{N}_n &= \mathcal{M}_1^{-1} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{M}_n^* \circ \mathcal{N}_n) \end{aligned}$$
 (7)

a fixed point problem for \mathcal{N}_n . Beginning iteration with $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{I}_n$ yields convergence to the exact result in n steps because \mathcal{M}_n^* is purely nonlinear. The map of the reversion [44, 12] of a system, i.e. the system traversed backwards, is related to the inverse of the map; but since angles change directions when going backwards, the reversed map \mathcal{M}_r is $\mathcal{M}_r = R \circ \mathcal{M}^{-1} \circ R$, where R is the linear map that changes the signs of angles.

Symplectic Tracking with Maps

Inverse maps can also be used to establish mixed-variable generating functions that represent the map [33], which are useful for symplectic tracking[45, 46]. Beginning from the *n*-th order map \mathcal{M}_n , we create $\mathcal{N}_{n,1}$, consisting of the position part of \mathcal{M}_n , and an identity for the momenta; thus $(\vec{q}_f, \vec{p}_i) = \mathcal{N}_{n,1}(\vec{q}_i, \vec{p}_i)$. Similarly we construct $\mathcal{N}_{n,2}$ via $(\vec{q}_i, \vec{p}_f) = \mathcal{N}_{n,2}(\vec{q}_i, \vec{p}_i)$. Inversion of $\mathcal{N}_{n,1}^{-1}$ (if possible) yields $(\vec{q}_i, \vec{p}_i) = \mathcal{N}_{n,1}^{-1}(\vec{q}_f, \vec{p}_i)$, and composition with $\mathcal{N}_{n,2}$ from the right yields

$$(\vec{q}_i, \vec{p}_f) = \mathcal{N}_{n,2} \circ \mathcal{N}_{n,1}^{-1}(\vec{q}_f, \vec{p}_i)$$
 (8)

From this mixed-variable representation, the underlying generating function can be obtained by a mere integration along a suitable path, again using the differential algebraic operation ∂^{-1} ; in a similar way as the case shown here, also all the other three common generating functions can be determined.

However, in practice it is frequently observed that different symplectification schemes result in different tracking results; so it is highly desirable to achieve symplectification with minimal modification of the prediction of Taylor transfer map. Utilizing Hofer's metric on spaces of Hamiltonians, this can actually be achieved in a unified way using DA techniques, resulting in the so-called EXPO symplectification scheme[47, 48, 49].

Normal Forms

Another important manipulation of maps is the transformation to normal form [12, 33]. The first step is the transformation to the parameter-dependent fixed

point $\vec{z}(\vec{\delta})$ which satisfies $(\vec{z}(\vec{\delta}), \vec{\delta}) = \mathcal{M}_n(\vec{z}(\vec{\delta}), \vec{\delta})$. Subtracting the non-parameter identity map $\mathcal{I}_n^{\vec{z}}$ on both sides we have $(\vec{0}, \vec{\delta}) = (\mathcal{M}_n - \mathcal{I}_n^{\vec{z}})(\vec{z}(\vec{\delta}), \vec{\delta})$, and thus

$$(\vec{z}(\vec{\delta}),\vec{\delta}) = (\mathcal{M}_n - \mathcal{I}_n^{\vec{z}})^{-1}(0,\vec{\delta})$$
(9)

from which we read off $\vec{z}(\vec{\delta})$ in the non-parameter lines.

The linear part of the normal form algorithm itself is based on a study of the eigenvalue spectrum of the map; if they are distinct and complex, then it amounts to a diagonalization to the form \mathcal{R} , where the phases of the diagonal terms correspond to the tunes. The nonlinear part of the normal form algorithm consists of a sequence of transformations S_n that to order n have the form $S_n = \mathcal{I} + S_n$. The orders higher than n in S_n can in principle be picked freely, and frequently they are chosen so that all transformations S_n belong to the same symmetry group as the original map [33].

Up to order *n*, we have $S_n^{-1} =_n \mathcal{I} - S_n$; letting $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{O}_n$ denote the map that has been normalized to order *n*, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{S}_n \circ \mathcal{N}_{n-1} \circ \mathcal{S}_n =_n (\mathcal{I} + S_n) \circ \mathcal{N}_{n-1} \circ (\mathcal{I} - S_n)$$

$$=_{n} \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{O}_{n} + \{S_{n} \circ \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} \circ S_{n}\}$$

$$\tag{10}$$

Apparently, the S_n in the commutator $\{S_n \circ \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} \circ S_n\}$ can now be chosen to remove *n*th order terms in \mathcal{O}_n . In the symplectic case, it turns out that the remaining terms are just those that describe motion on circles, with a frequency that depends on the radius and possible parameters $\vec{\delta}$, allowing direct computation of amplitude dependent tune shifts.

The radius vectors in each phase space pair represent invariants, the quality of which is directly determined by the magnitude of the coefficients of S_n , the so-called resonance strengths. Trying to minimize their size is an efficient way to enhance the overall nonlinear behavior of the system.

Other DA-based tools

It is also possible to obtain Lie factorizations,

$$\mathcal{M}_n =_n \{\mathcal{M}_1 \exp(:f_3:) \exp(:f_4:)...\}\mathcal{I}$$

or
$$\mathcal{M}_n =_n \{\dots \exp(: f_4:) \exp(: f_3:) \mathcal{M}_1\} \mathcal{I}$$
 (11)

of symplectic maps \mathcal{M}_n [12, 33], where $=_n$ means two functions are equal up to order n; in fact, the DA approach currently represents the only method to obtain them to arbitrary order. The procedure is order-by-order; in the *i*th step, all orders less then *i* have already been taken care of, and the problem is reduced to finding f_{i+1} such that $\exp(:f_{i+1}:)\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I} + \vec{\nabla}f_{i+1} \cdot \hat{J}$ agrees with the given map to order *i*. This is an integration problem similar to the case of the generating functions above, which is resolved once more with the differential algebraic operator ∂^{-1} .

Some other DA-based methods not discussed above shall be mentioned briefly. For the analysis of spin dynamics, there are methods [50, 51] that allow the computation of the spin map, spin tracking, as well as invariant spin axis \hat{n} There are also extensions of the DA methods to allow for a mathematically rigorous treatment of the remainder terms of Taylor's formula [8, 52, 53], which allow for the development of rigorous error bounds for integrators [9, 10] and also a rigorous bounding of stability times of nonlinear motion [54, 8, 55] when combined with methods of verified global optimization[8, 56]. There are methods for the solution of algebraically constrained differential equations, so-called differential algebraic equations [57, 58, 59], and methods to develop high order versions of the Fast Multipole Method for 3D space charge computation[60].

Applications

The differential algebraic methods form the core tools for the computation of maps and aberrations for a large number of codes. First developed and used in the code COSY INFINITY [16, 61] which currently has about 1,500 registered users, the methods also form the core engines in many other codes [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

The methods have been used for design, optimization and analysis of numerous beam optical devices, of which we only showcase a limited number for reasons of space. Maps are being used for the efficient symplectic tracking of dynamics in synchrotrons resulting in speed increases for the LHC (see for example[62]) and the Tevatron; at the latter, they have also been used for purposes of linear decoupling [63]and the first-ever rigorous estimate of long-term stability[54]. Another important application is the tracking and correction based on more sophisticated models for fringe fields or other nonlinearities in large acceptance rings [64, 48], symplectic tracking in light sources under consideration of wigglers and undulators, all the way to various applications for novel accelerator types like the FFAG[41, 13].

The methods have also been widely used for the design and correction of fragment separators and particle spectrographs, including the MSU FRIB, S800 and A1900, those at TJNAF, Catania, RIKEN, and OSAKA. In addition to computation and hardware correction, a particularly important application is the reconstructive correction of aberrations in high resolution spectrographs [65]. This technique uses combinations of the map and its inverse to eliminate aberrations by measurement data in two planes, i.e. knowledge of (x_f, y_f, a_f, b_f) . since this is uniquely determined as a function of $(y_i, \delta_i, a_i, b_i,)$, utilizing map inversion techniques discussed above yields reaction energy and angles to precisions that are sometimes two orders of magnitude higher than without correction.

Finally, over the last years, extensive use is also being made of the methods in electron microscopy, where they afford the ability to compute and correct high-order aberrations as soon as the fields of the devices are known.

References

- K. L. Brown, R. Belbeoch, P. Bounin, First- and second- order magnetic optics matrix equations for the midplane of uniform-field wedge magnets, Review of Scientific Instruments 35 (1964) 481.
- [2] K. L. Brown, A first- and second-order matrix theory for the design of beam transport systems and charged particle spectrometers, Tech. Rep. 75, SLAC (1982).
- [3] K. L. Brown, The ion optical program TRANSPORT, Tech. Rep. 91, SLAC (1979).
- [4] T. Matsuo, H. Matsuda, Y. Fujita, H. Wollnik, Computer program TRIO for third order calculations of ion trajectories, Mass Spectrometry 24.
- [5] H. Wollnik, B. Hartmann, M. Berz, Principles behind GIOS and COSY, AIP CP 177 (1988) 74.
- [6] A. J. Dragt, L. M. Healy, F. Neri, R. Ryne, MARYLIE 3.0 a program for nonlinear analysis of accelerators and beamlines, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science NS-3,5 (1985) 2311.
- [7] M. Berz, H. C. Hofmann, H. Wollnik, COSY 5.0, the fifth order code for corpuscular optical systems, Nuclear Instruments and Methods A258 (1987) 402–406.
- [8] K. Makino, Rigorous analysis of nonlinear motion in particle accelerators, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA, Also MSUCL-1093 (1998).
- [9] M. Berz, K. Makino, Verified integration of ODEs and flows using differential algebraic methods on high-order Taylor models, Reliable Computing 4 (4) (1998) 361–369.
- [10] K. Makino, M. Berz, Suppression of the wrapping effect by Taylor modelbased verified integrators: Long-term stabilization by preconditioning, International Journal of Differential Equations and Applications 10,4 (2005) 353–384.
- [11] K. Jackson, M. Berz, M. Neher(Eds.), Proceedings, International Workshops on Taylor Models, in preparation.
- [12] M. Berz, Modern Map Methods in Particle Beam Physics, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999, Also available at http://bt.pa.msu.edu/pub.
- [13] K. Makino, M. Berz, C. Johnstone, High-order out-of-plane expansion for 3D fields, International Journal Modern Physics A 26 (2011) 1807–1821, dOI: 10.1142/S0217751X11053201.

- [14] M. Berz, Differential algebraic description of beam dynamics to very high orders, Particle Accelerators 24 (1989) 109.
- [15] M. Berz, The method of power series tracking for the mathematical description of beam dynamics, Nuclear Instruments and Methods A258 (1987) 431–437.
- [16] M. B. et al., The COSY INFINITY web page, http://cosyinfinity.org.
- [17] Y. Yan, ZLIB and related programs for beam dynamics studies, in: Third Computational Accelerator Physics Conference, Vol. 297, AIP Conference Proceedings, 1993, p. 279.
- [18] Y. Yan, C.-Y. Yan, ZLIB, a numerical library for differential algebra, Tech. Rep. 300, SSCL (1990).
- [19] J. van Zeijts, New features in the design code TLIE, in: Third Computational Accelerator Physics Conference, Vol. 297, AIP Conference Proceedings, 1993, p. 285.
- [20] J. van Zeijts, F. Neri, The arbitrary order design code TLIE 1.0, in: M. Berz, S. Martin and K. Ziegler (Eds.), Proceedings Workshop on Nonlinear Effects in Accelerators, IOP Publishing, London, 1993.
- [21] L. Michelotti, MXYZTPLK: A practical, user friendly c++ implementation of differential algebra, Tech. rep., Fermilab (1990).
- [22] W. Davis, S. R. Douglas, G. D. Pusch, G. E. Lee-Whiting, The Chalk River differential algebra code DACYC and the role of differential and Lie algebras in understanding the orbit dynamics in cyclotrons, in: M. Berz, S. Martin and K. Ziegler (Eds.), Proceedings Workshop on Nonlinear Effects in Accelerators, IOP Publishing, London, 1993.
- [23] F. C. Iselin, The CLASSIC project, in: Fourth Computational Accelerator Physics Conference, Vol. 297, AIP Conference Proceedings, 1997, p. 325.
- [24] J. F. Ritt, Integration in Finite Terms Liouville's Theory of Elementary Methods, Columbia University Press, New York, 1948.
- [25] J. F. Ritt, Differential Equations from the Algebraic Viewpoint, American Mathematical Society, Washington, D.C., 1932.
- [26] J. F. Ritt, Differential Algebra, American Mathematical Society, Washington, D.C., 1950.
- [27] E. R. Kolchin, Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [28] E. R. Kolchin, Differential Algebraic Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1985.

- [29] R. H. Risch, The solution of the problem of integration in finite terms, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 76 (1970) 605–608.
- [30] M. Berz, The new method of TPSA algebra for the description of beam dynamics to high orders, Tech. Rep. AT-6:ATN-86-16, Los Alamos National Laboratory (1986).
- [31] M. Berz, Forward algorithms for high orders and many variables, Automatic Differentiation of Algorithms: Theory, Implementation and Application SIAM.
- [32] M. Berz, C. Bischof, A. Griewank, G. Corliss, Eds., Computational Differentiation: Techniques, Applications, and Tools, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1996.
- [33] M. Berz, High-Order Computation and Normal Form Analysis of Repetitive Systems, in: M. Month (Ed), Physics of Particle Accelerators, Vol. 249, American Institute of Physics, New York, 1991, p. 456.
- [34] M. Berz, Automatic differentiation as non-Archimedean analysis, in: Computer Arithmetic and Enclosure Methods, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1992, p. 439.
- [35] M. Berz, K. S. (Eds.), Advances in *p*-Adic and Non-Archimedean Analysis, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [36] M. Berz, Computational Differentiation, Entry in 'Encyclopedia of Computer Science and Technology', Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [37] S. Manikonda, High order finite element methods to compute Taylor transfer maps, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA (2006).
- [38] S. L. Manikonda, M. Berz, Multipole expansion solution of the Laplace equation using surface data, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 558,1 (2006) 175–183.
- [39] S. L. Manikonda, M. Berz, K. Makino, High-order verified solution of the 3D Laplace equation, Transactions on Computers 4-11 (2005) 1604–1610.
- [40] S. L. Manikonda, M. Berz, An accurate high-order method to solve the Helmholtz boundary value problem for the 3D Laplace equation, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 23,3 (2005) 365–378.
- [41] K. Makino, M. Berz, P. Snopok, C. Johnstone, High-order description of the dynamics in FFAGs and related accelerators, International Journal Modern Physics A 24, 5 (2009) 908–922.
- [42] P. Wallstrom, Private communication.
- [43] G. Hoffstätter, M. Berz, Symplectic scaling of transfer maps including fringe fields, Physical Review E 54,4.

- [44] W. Wan, M. Berz, An analytical theory of arbitrary order achromats, Physical Review E 54,3 (1996) 2870.
- [45] M. Berz, High-order description of accelerators using differential algebra and first applications to the SSC, in: Proceedings, Snowmass Summer Meeting, Snowmass, Co, 1988.
- [46] Y. Yan, P. Channell, M. Syphers, Performance of an algorithm for symplectic implicit one-turn map tracking, Tech. Rep. 157, SSCL (1993).
- [47] B. Erdélyi, M. Berz, Optimal symplectic approximation of Hamiltonian flows, Physical Review Letters 87,11 (2001) 114302.
- [48] B. Erdélyi, Symplectic approximation of Hamiltonian flows and accurate simulation of fringe field effects, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA (2001).
- [49] B. Erdélyi, M. Berz, Local theory and applications of extended generating functions, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 11,3 (2004) 241–282.
- [50] M. Berz, K. Makino, Advanced computational methods for nonlinear spin dynamics, IOP Journal of Physics 295 (2012) 012143, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/295/1/012143.
- [51] V. Balandin, M. Berz, N. Golubeva, Computation and analysis of spin dynamics, AIP CP 391 (1996) 276.
- [52] K. Makino, M. Berz, Remainder differential algebras and their applications, in: M. Berz, C. Bischof, G. Corliss, A. Griewank (Eds.), Computational Differentiation: Techniques, Applications, and Tools, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1996, pp. 63–74.
- [53] M. Berz, From Taylor series to Taylor models, AIP CP 405 (1997) 1–20.
- [54] K. Makino, M. Berz, Y.-K. Kim, P. Snopok, Long term stability of large accelerators, ECMI Newsletter 39.
- [55] G. Hoffstätter, M. Berz, Rigorous lower bounds on the survival time in particle accelerators, Particle Accelerators 54 (1996) 193–202.
- [56] K. Makino, M. Berz, Performance of Taylor model-based algorithms for unconstrained global optimization, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics in print.
- [57] J. Hoefkens, Verified methods for differential algebraic equations, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA (2001).
- [58] J. Hoefkens, M. Berz, K. Makino, Computing validated solutions of implicit differential equations, Advances in Computational Mathematics 19 (2003) 231–253.

- [59] J. Hoefkens, M. Berz, K. Makino, Verified high-order integration of DAEs and higher-order ODEs, in: W. Kraemer, J. v. Gudenburg (Eds.), Scientific Computing, Validated Numerics, Interval Methods, Kluwer, Boston, 2001, pp. 281–292.
- [60] H. Zhang, M. Berz, Fast multipole method in the differential algebra framework, Nuclear Instruments and Methods A 645 (2011) 338–344, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2011.01.053.
- [61] M. Berz, K. Makino, COSY INFINITY Version 9.0 beam physics manual, Tech. Rep. MSUHEP-060804, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, see also http://cosyinfinity.org (2007).
- [62] M. L. Shashikant, M. Berz, B. Erdélyi, COSY INFINITY's EXPO symplectic tracking for LHC, IOP CP 175 (2002) 299–305.
- [63] P. V. Snopok, C. J. Johnstone, M. Berz, D. A. Ovsyannikov, A. D. Ovsyannikov, Study and optimal correction of a systematic skew quadrupole field in the Tevatron, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 558 (2005) 142–146.
- [64] M. Berz, B. Erdélyi, K. Makino, Fringe field effects in small rings of large acceptance, Physical Review ST-AB 3 (2000) 124001.
- [65] M. Berz, K. Joh, J. A. Nolen, B. M. Sherrill, A. F. Zeller, Reconstructive correction of aberrations in nuclear particle spectrographs, Physical Review C 47,2 (1993) 537.